arXiv:1410.0420v1 [math.GR] 2 Oct 2014

PERMUTATION GROUPS AND ORBITS ON POWER SETS

YONG YANG

Abstract. Let G be a permutation group of degree n and let $s(G)$ denote the number of set-orbits of G. We determine $\inf(\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n})$ over all groups G that satisfy certain restrictions on composition factors (i.e. $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > 4$ cannot be obtained as a quotient of a subgroup of G).

1. Introduction

A permutation group G acting on a set Ω induces a permutation group on the power set $\mathscr{P}(\Omega)$. We call the orbits of this action set-orbits. Let $s(G)$ denote the number of set-orbits of G. Obviously $s(G) \geq |\Omega| + 1$ as sets of different cardinality belong to different orbits.

In a paper of Babai and Pyber [1, Theorem 1], they show that if G has no large alternating composition factors then $s(G)$ is exponential in n. More precisely they prove the following result [1, Theorem 1]. Let G be a permutation group of degree n and let $s(G)$ denote the number of set-orbits of G. Assume that G does not contain any alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > t(t \geq 4)$ as a composition factor, then $\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n} \geq \frac{C_1}{t}$ for some positive constant C_1.

In the same paper, they raise the following question, what is $\inf(\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n})$ over all solvable groups G? This question is answered in a recent paper of the author in [6]. Clearly, a more interesting question is to answer the following question, what is $\inf(\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n})$ over all groups G that does not contain any alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > 4$ as a composition factor?

We study this question in this paper. It turns out that $\inf(\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n}) = \lim_{k\mapsto\infty} \frac{\log_2 s(M_{24}\wr M_{12}\wr S_4\wr\cdots\wr S_4)}{24\cdot 12\cdot 4^k}$. Let $a_k = \frac{\log_2 s(M_{24}\wr M_{12}\wr S_4\wr\cdots\wr S_4)}{24\cdot 12\cdot 4^k}$, we know that this sequence is decreasing and

$$\lim_{k\mapsto\infty} a_k \approx 0.1712268716679245433.$$

Clearly, the main difficulty of this work is to identify the group that achieves the minimum bound.

2. Notation and Lemmas

Notation:

(1) We use $H\wr S$ to denote the wreath product of H with S where H is a group and S is a permutation group.
(2) Let G be a solvable permutation group of degree n and we use $s(G)$ to denote the number of set-orbits of G and we denote $rs(G) = \frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n}$.

We recall some basic facts about the decompositions of transitive groups. Let G be a transitive permutation group acting on a set Ω, $|\Omega| = n$. A system of imprimitivity is a partition of Ω, invariant under G. A primitive group has no non-trivial system of imprimitivity. Let $(\Omega_1, \cdots, \Omega_m)$ denote a system of imprimitivity of G with maximal block-size b ($1 \leq b < n; b = 1$ if and only if G is primitive; $bm = n$).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B05.

Let H denote the normal subgroup of G stabilizing each of the blocks Ω_i. Then G/H is a primitive group of degree m acting upon the set of blocks Ω_i. If G_i denotes the permutation group of degree b induced on Ω_i by the setwise stabilizer of Ω_i in G, then the groups G_i are permutationally equivalent transitive groups and $H \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m < \mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$.

Let G be a transitive group of degree n and assume that G is not primitive. Let us consider a system of imprimitivity of G that consists of $m \geq 2$ blocks of size b, b maximal. Thus $G \lesssim H \wr P_1$ where P_1 is the primitive quotient group of G that acts upon the m blocks. We may keep doing this, eventually we may view $G \lesssim K \wr P_j \cdots \wr P_1$ where K is a primitive group and P_i are all primitive groups. We say that G is induced from the primitive group K.

Lemma 2.1. *If $H \leq G \leq \mathrm{Sym}(\Omega)$, then $s(G) \leq s(H) \leq s(G) \cdot |G : H|$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Assume G is intransitive on Ω and has orbits $\Omega_1, \cdots, \Omega_m$. Let G_i be the restriction of G to Ω_i. Then*

$$s(G) \geq s(G_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot s(G_m).$$

Proof. $G \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$ so we can apply Lemma 2.1. Clearly $s(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m) = s(G_1) \cdot \cdots \cdot s(G_m)$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\Omega_1, \cdots, \Omega_m)$ denote a system of imprimitivity of G with maximal block-size b ($1 \leq b < n; b = 1$ if and only if G is primitive; $bm = n$). Let H denote the normal subgroup of G stabilizing each of the blocks Ω_i. Denote $s = s(G_i)$. Then*

1. *$s(G) \geq s^m/|G/H|$.*
2. *$s(G) \geq \binom{s+m-1}{s-1}$. Also, the equation holds if $G/H \cong S_m$.*

Proof. Let A be a subset of Ω and let $\alpha_j (0 \leq j \leq b)$ denote the number of s orbit-element sets among the $A \cap \Omega_i$. Let B be another subset of Ω with the number β_j defined similarly. If A and B are in the same orbit of G then $\alpha_j = \beta_j$ for $(0 \leq j \leq b)$. Therefore $s(G)$ is at least the number of partitions of m into s non-negative integers (where the order of the summands is taken into consideration). It is well known that this number is

$$\binom{s+m-1}{s-1}$$

which proves (2). □

We need the following estimates of the order of the primitive permutation groups.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a primitive permutation group of degree n where G does not contain A_n. Then*

1. *$|G| < 50 \cdot n^{\sqrt{n}}$.*
2. *$|G| < 3^n$. Moreover, if $n > 24$, then $|G| < 2^n$.*
3. *$|G| \leq 2^{0.76n}$ when $n \geq 25$ and $n \neq 32$.*

Proof. (1) is [5, Corollary 1.1(ii)] and (2) is [5, Corollary 1.2].

(3) follows from (1) for $n \geq 89$, and one may check the results using GAP [2] for $25 \leq n \leq 88$.

□

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a primitive permutation group of degree n where G does not contain any alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > 4$ as a composition factor.*

1. *$s(G) \geq 35$ when $n = 14$.*
2. *$s(G) \geq 46$ when $n = 15$.*
3. *$s(G) \geq 32$ when $n = 16$.*
4. *$s(G) \geq 48$ when $n = 17$.*

(5) $s(G) \geq 158$ *when* $n = 21$.
(6) $s(G) \geq 105$ *when* $n = 22$.
(7) $s(G) \geq 72$ *when* $n = 23$.
(8) $s(G) \geq 49$ *when* $n = 24$.
(9) $s(G) \geq 361$ *when* $n = 32$.

Proof. The results are checked by GAP [2].
$n = 14$, the bound 35 is attained by PrimitiveGroup(14,2) $\cong \mathrm{PGL}(2, 13)$.
$n = 15$, the bound 46 is attained by PrimitiveGroup(15,4) $\cong \mathrm{PSL}(4, 2)$.
$n = 16$, the bound 32 is attained by PrimitiveGroup(16,11) $\cong 2^4.\mathrm{PSL}(4, 2)$.
$n = 17$, the bound 48 is attained by PrimitiveGroup(17,8) $\cong \mathrm{P\Gamma L}(2, 2^4)$.
$n = 21$, the bound 158 is attained by PrimitiveGroup(21,7) $\cong \mathrm{P\Gamma L}(3, 4)$.
$n = 22$. There are only two groups. If $G \cong M_{22}$, then $s(G) = 130$. If $G \cong M_{22}.2$, then $s(G) = 105$.
$n = 23$, the group with the second largest order has order 506 and $s(G) \geq 16578$. The group with the largest order is $G \cong M_{23}$, and $s(G) = 72$.
$n = 24$, the group with the second largest order has order 12144 and $s(G) \geq 1382$. The group with the largest order is $G \cong M_{24}$, and $s(G) = 49$.
$n = 32$, the group with the second largest order has order 29760 and $s(G) \geq 144321$. The group with the largest order is $G \cong$ PrimitiveGroup(32,3) $\cong \mathrm{ASL}(5, 2)$, and $s(G) \geq 361$. We remark here that 361 is a lower bound estimated by GAP [2] using random search, we cannot get the exact value though. □

3. Main Theorems

We define a sequence $\{s_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ where $s_{-1} = s(M_{24}) = 49$, $s_0 = s(M_{24} \wr M_{12}) =$ and $s_{k+1} = \binom{s_k+3}{4}$ for $k \geq 0$.

Clearly the sequence $\{s_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is strictly increasing.

We define a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ where $a_k = \frac{\log_2 s_k}{24\cdot 12\cdot 4^k}$.

$$a_{k+1} = \frac{\log_2 \binom{s_k+3}{4}}{24 \cdot 12 \cdot 4^{k+1}} = \frac{\log_2(\frac{(s_k+3)(s_k+2)(s_k+1)(s_k)}{24})}{24 \cdot 12 \cdot 4^{k+1}} < \frac{\log_2(s_k)^4}{24 \cdot 12 \cdot 4^{k+1}} = a_k.$$

Thus we know that the sequence $\{a_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is strictly decreasing. Since $a_k > 0$, $\lim_{k\mapsto\infty} a_k$ exists.

In order to provide a good estimate of the value of $\lim_{k\mapsto\infty} a_k$, one needs to calculate the exact value of $s(M_{24} \wr M_{12})$. Using GAP [2], one may easily obtain that $s(M_{24}) = 49$. On the other hand, it is not easy to calculate the exact value of $s(M_{24} \wr M_{12})$ using GAP [2] directly due to the calculation complexity of the orbit-stabilizer algorithm.

In order to calculate $s(M_{24} \wr M_{12})$, we need to break down the calculation into a few manageable steps. We first fix some notation. Let Π be the set of all the composition of 12 and let π be a partition of 12. Here we use $B(\pi)$ to denote the number of the blocks of the partition. We know that $B(\pi) = n_1 + n_2 \cdots + n_k$, where n_1 is the number of blocks of the largest size, n_2 is the number of blocks of the second largest size and so on, and we define $F(\pi) = n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdots n_k!$.

We define $N(\pi)$ to be the number of orbits of M_{12} on all the multiset permutations (permutations with repetition) of a set of 12 elements with the partition π as multiset structure.

One can read the value of $N(\pi)$ from Table 1 and Table 2. The results in Table 1 and Table 2 are obtained using computer program GAP [2].

For example, for the partition $\pi = (3, 3, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, we have that $B(\pi) = 7$, $F(\pi) = 2! \cdot 4!$ and $N(\pi) = 70$.

$s(M_{24} \wr M_{12})$ can be calculated using the following formula.

$$s(M_{24} \wr M_{12}) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi} N(\pi) \cdot \frac{{}^{49}P_{B(\pi)}}{F(\pi)}$$

Using the previous formula and Table 1, 2, we obtain that $s_0 = s(M_{24} \wr M_{12}) = 2,017,737,434,447,329$.

By some calculations, one gets the following. $a_0 \approx 0.1765335412289444$, $a_1 \approx 0.172553539058179$ and $a_2 \approx 0.171558538515488$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a primitive permutation group of degree n where G does not contain any alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > 4$ as a composition factor. Then $rs(G) \geq a_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $n \geq 25$ and $n \neq 32$, $s(G) \geq 2^{0.24n}$ by Theorem 2.4(3) and $rs(G) \geq 0.24 > a_2$.

Suppose that $n \leq 24$, then $s(G) \geq n + 1$ and $rs(G) > 0.199 > a_2$.

Suppose that $n = 32$, then $s(G) \geq 361$ by Lemma 2.5(9) and $rs(G) > 0.26 > a_2$. □

Next we prove a general induction theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a permutation group of degree n induced from H where H is a permutation group of degree m. Let $\alpha = 24^{1/3}$. Suppose that*

$$\frac{\log_2 s(H)}{m} - \frac{\log_2(\alpha)}{m} \geq \beta,$$

then $\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n} \geq \beta$.

Proof. We may assume that $G \lesssim H \wr P_1 \cdots \wr P_j$, where P_1 is a primitive permutation group of degree k and all the P_is are primitive permutation groups. Then we know that $|P_1| \leq \alpha^{k-1}$ by Theorem 2.4(1).

Since

$$\frac{\log_2 s(H)}{m} - \frac{\log_2(\alpha)}{m} \geq \beta,$$

we have that $s(H) \geq \alpha 2^{m\beta}$.

By Lemma 2.3(1) we have $s(H \wr P_1) \geq s(H)^k/|P_1| \geq \alpha^k 2^{mk\beta}/\alpha^{k-1} = \alpha 2^{mk\beta}$. Thus we have

$$\frac{\log_2 s(H \wr P_1)}{mk} - \frac{\log_2(\alpha)}{mk} \geq \beta.$$

Now the result follows by induction. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a permutation group of degree n where G does not contain any alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > 4$ as a composition factor. Let G be induced from H where H be a primitive permutation group of degree m. If $H \ncong M_{24}$, then $\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n} \geq a_2$.*

Proof. In view of Theorem 3.2, it suffices to check that

$$\frac{\log_2 s(H)}{m} - \frac{\log_2(\alpha)}{m} \geq a_2.$$

We call this inequality $\star$.

Suppose that $m \geq 25$ and $m \neq 32$, then $|H| \leq 2^{0.76m}$ by Theorem 2.4(2). Since $s(H) \geq 2^m/|H|$, we have $\frac{\log_2 s(H)}{m} \geq 0.24$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 32$, then $s(H) \geq 361$ by Lemma 2.5(9), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 24$ and $H \ncong M_{24}$, then $s(H) \geq 1382$ by Lemma 2.5(8), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 23$, then $s(H) \geq 72$ by Lemma 2.5(7), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 22$, then $s(H) \geq 105$ by Lemma 2.5(6), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 21$, then $s(H) \geq 158$ by Lemma 2.5(5), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 20$, then $|H| \leq 6840$ by Table 3 and $s(H) \geq 2^{20}/6840$, it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 19$, then $|H| \leq 342$ by Table 3 and $s(H) \geq 2^{19}/342$, it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 18$, then $|H| \leq 4896$ by Table 3 and $s(H) \geq 2^{18}/4896$, it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 17$, then $s(H) \geq 48$ by Lemma 2.5(4), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 16$, then $s(H) \geq 32$ by Lemma 2.5(3), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 15$, then $s(H) \geq 46$ by Lemma 2.5(2), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 14$, then $s(H) \geq 35$ by Lemma 2.5(1), it is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 13$, then $s(H) \geq 14$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 12$, then $s(H) \geq 13$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 11$, then $s(H) \geq 12$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 10$, then $s(H) \geq 11$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 9$, then $s(H) \geq 10$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 8$, then $s(H) \geq 9$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 7$, then $s(H) \geq 8$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 5$, then $s(H) \geq 6$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 4$, then $s(H) \geq 5$. It is easy to check that $\star$ is satisfied.

By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that $G \lesssim H \wr P_1 \cdots \wr P_j$ where $\deg(P_1) = m_1 > 0$. Let $K = H \wr P_1$.

In view of Theorem 3.2, it suffices to check that

$$\frac{\log_2 s(K)}{mm_1} - \frac{\log_2(\alpha)}{mm_1} \geq a_2.$$

We call this inequality $\star(K)$.

Suppose that $m = 3$, then $s(H) \geq 4$.

$s(K) = s(H)^{m_1}/|P_1| = 4^{m_1}/|P_1|$ by Lemma 2.3(1). If $m_1 \geq 25$ and $m_1 \neq 32$, then $|P_1| \leq 2^{0.76m_1}$ by Theorem 2.4(3) and it is easy to check that $\star(K)$ is satisfied.

If $17 \leq m_1 \leq 24$ or $m_1 = 32$, we use the results in Table 3 to estimate $|P_1|$ and it is easy to check that $\star(K)$ is satisfied.

If $m_1 \leq 16$, then we have that $s(K) \geq \binom{3+m_1}{3}$ by Lemma 2.3(2) and it is easy to check that $\star(K)$ is satisfied.

Suppose that $m = 2$, then $s(H) \geq 3$.

$s(K) = s(H)^{m_1}/|P_1| = 3^{m_1}/|P_1|$ by Lemma 2.3(1). If $m_1 \geq 25$ and $m_1 \neq 32$, then $|P_1| \leq 2^{0.76m_1}$ by Theorem 2.4(3) and it is easy to check that $\star(K)$ is satisfied.

If $18 \leq m_1 \leq 24$ or $m_1 = 32$, we use the results in Table 3 to estimate $|P_1|$ and it is easy to check that $\star(K)$ is satisfied.

If $m_1 \leq 17$, then we have that $s(K) \geq \binom{2+m_1}{2}$ by Lemma 2.3(2) and it is easy to check that $\star(K)$ is satisfied. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a permutation group of degree n where G does not contain any alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > 4$ as a composition factor. Let G be induced from H where H be a primitive permutation group of degree 24 and $H \cong M_{24}$. Thus $G \lesssim H \wr P_1 \cdots \wr P_j$. If $P_1 \ncong M_{12}$, then $\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n} \geq a_2$.*

Proof. Clearly $s(H) = 49$ by Lemma 2.5(8). Let $K = H \wr P_1$ and $m_1 = \deg(P_1)$. In view of Theorem 3.2, it suffices to check that

$$\frac{\log_2 s(K)}{24m_1} - \frac{\log_2(\alpha)}{24m_1} \geq a_2.$$

If $32 \geq m_1 \geq 5$, then $s(K) \geq 49^{m_1}/|P_1|$ by Lemma 2.3(1). We may estimate $|P_1|$ using Table 3, and it is easy to check that the previous inequality is satisfied.

If $m_1 \geq 33$, then $s(K) \geq 49^{m_1}/|P_1|$ by Lemma 2.3(1). We may estimate $|P_1|$ using Theorem 2.4(3), and it is easy to check that the previous inequality is satisfied.

If $m_1 \leq 4$, then $s(K) \geq \binom{48+m_1}{48}$ by Lemma 2.3(2), and it is easy to check that the previous inequality is satisfied. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let H be a permutation group of degree $24{\cdot}12{\cdot}4^k$ where $k \geq 0$ and $H \cong M_{24} \wr M_{12} \wr S_4 \wr \cdots \wr S_4$. Then $rs(H \wr S_4 \wr S_4 \wr S_4 \wr S_4) \leq rs(H \wr P_1 \cdots \wr P_j)$ if $\deg(P_1) \neq 4$.*

Proof. Let $A = s(H)$ and $n = \deg(H) = 24 \cdot 12 \cdot 4^k$.

$B = s(H \wr S_4) = \binom{A+3}{A-1} = \binom{A+3}{4}$ by Lemma 2.3(2).

$B = \frac{(A+3)(A+2)(A+1)A}{24}$ and $B + 3 \leq \frac{(A+3)^4}{24}$ since $A \geq 2,017,737,434,447,329$.

By Lemma 2.3(2)

$$s(H \wr S_4 \wr S_4) = \binom{B+3}{B-1} = \binom{B+3}{4} = \frac{(B+3)(B+2)(B+1)B}{24} \leq \frac{(B+3)^4}{24} \leq \frac{(\frac{(A+3)^4}{24})^4}{24}.$$

Thus we have that

$$rs(H \wr S_4 \wr S_4) \leq \frac{\log_2(A+3)}{n} - \frac{\log_2 24}{4n} - \frac{\log_2 24}{16n}.$$

By a similar argument, we will get that

$$rs(H \wr S_4 \wr S_4 \wr S_4 \wr S_4) \leq \frac{\log_2(A+3)}{n} - \frac{\log_2 24}{4n} - \frac{\log_2 24}{16n} - \frac{\log_2 24}{64n} - \frac{\log_2 24}{256n}.$$

Let $m = \deg(P_1)$. By Lemma 2.3(1), we have

$$rs(H \wr P_1 \cdots \wr P_j) \geq \frac{\log_2 A}{n} - \frac{\log_2 |P_1|}{mn} - \frac{\log_2 \alpha}{mn}.$$

It suffices to show that

$$\frac{\log_2 24}{4} + \frac{\log_2 24}{16} + \frac{\log_2 24}{64} + \frac{\log_2 24}{256} - \frac{\log_2 |P_1|}{m} - \frac{\log_2 \alpha}{m} \geq \log_2 \frac{A+3}{A}.$$

Since $A \geq 2,017,737,434,447,329$. $\log_2 \frac{A+3}{A} \leq 0.0000000000000023$.

It suffices to show that

$$\frac{\log_2 |P_1|}{m} + \frac{\log_2 \alpha}{m} \leq 1.522350830317569088$$

We may use Theorem 2.4(2) and Table 3 to estimate $|P_1|$ and it is easy to check that the previous inequality is satisfied. □

Let $G_0 = M_{24} \wr M_{12}$ and $G_k = G_0 \wr S_4 \wr \cdots \wr S_4$.

Thus $a_0 = s(G_0) = 2,017,737,434,447,329$, $s_1 = s(G_1) = \binom{s_0+3}{4}$, $s_2 = s(G_2) = \binom{s_1+3}{4}$ and $s_k = s(G_k) = \binom{s_{k-1}+3}{4}$ by Lemma 2.3(2).

We know that $rs(G_k) = \frac{\log_2 s(G_k)}{24{\cdot}12{\cdot}4^k} = \frac{\log_2 s_k}{24{\cdot}12{\cdot}4^k} = a_k$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a permutation group of degree n where G does not contain any alternating group $\mathrm{Alt}(k), k > 4$ as a composition factor. Let $s(G)$ denote the number of set-orbits of G. Then we have*

$$\inf(\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n}) = \lim_{k \mapsto \infty} \frac{\log_2 s(M_{24} \wr M_{12} \wr S_4 \wr \cdots \wr S_4)}{24 \cdot 12 \cdot 4^k} = \lim_{k \mapsto \infty} a_k.$$

Proof. Let $M = \lim_{k\mapsto\infty} a_k$. Then clearly $M < a_2$. Suppose G is primitive, then $rs(G) \geq a_2$ by Lemma 3.1.

Now we may assume G is not primitive.

By Proposition 3.3 we know that G is induced from M_{24}.

By Proposition 3.4 we know that G is induced from $M_{24} \wr M_{12}$.

By Theorem 3.5, we have

$$\inf(\frac{\log_2 s(G)}{n}) = \lim_{k\mapsto\infty} \frac{\log_2 s(M_{24} \wr M_{12} \wr S_4 \wr \cdots \wr S_4)}{24 \cdot 12 \cdot 4^k}.$$

□

Remark 1. One can get a good estimate of the limit using the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Using the same notation, we have $G_0 = M_{24} \wr M_{12}$ and we set $n_0 = 24 \cdot 12$. Thus by Theorem 3.2, we know that $M \geq 0.1712268716679245433$.

By the proof of Theorem 3.5, we have that $rs(G_0 \wr S_4 \wr S_4 \cdots) \leq \frac{\log_2(s_0+3)}{n_0} - \frac{\log_2 24}{4n_0} - \frac{\log_2 24}{16n_0} - \cdots$ where .

Clearly

$$M = \lim_{k\mapsto\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\log_2(s_0+3)}{n}_0 - \frac{\log_2 24}{n_0} \cdot (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{16} + \cdots) = \frac{\log_2(s_0+3)}{n_0} - \frac{\log_2 24}{3n_0} \approx 0.1712268716679245433.$$

Taking into consideration the possible mistakes in the last digit, the following bound is guaranteed.

$$0.1712268716679245432 < M < 0.1712268716679245434.$$

Remark 2. The following results are [4, Theorem 2.6] and [4, Corollary 2.7].

Theorem 3.7. *There is a number C such that the following holds. Let G be a finite group, p be a prime not dividing $|G|$, and let V be a finite faithful, irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module. If $p > C$, then $k(GV) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}$.*

Theorem 3.8. *Let C be the constant occurring in the previous theorem. Let G be a finite group. Suppose that p is a prime dividing $|G|$ and that $p > C$. Then*

$$k(G) \geq 2\sqrt{p-1}.$$

It was mentioned in [4] that the value C one could get from the proof would be extremely large. But even finding such a bad value for C seems to be quite a difficult task, given that the C also depends on the unspecified constants in [1] and in [3, Theorem 35(a)].

The result of this paper would be helpful in finding an estimate of the constant C in that paper since it provides the best possible estimate for the result in [1].

References

[1] L. Babai and L. Pyber, 'Permutation groups without exponentially many orbits on the power set', J. of Comb. Theory, Series A, 66 (1994), 160-168.

[2] GAP. The GAP Group, GAP-Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.3; 2002.

[3] T.M. Keller, 'The $k(GV)$-problem revisited', J. Austral. Math. Soc. 79 (2005), 257276.

[4] T.M. Keller, 'Lower bounds for the number of conjugacy classes of finite group', Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 147 (2009, 567-577.

[5] A. Maróti, 'On the orders of the primitive groups', J. Algebra 258 (2002), 631-640.

[6] Y. Yang, 'Solvable Permutation Groups and Orbits on Power Sets', Comm. Algebra, 42 (2014), 2813-2820.

Department of Mathematics, Texas State University, 601 University Drive, San Marcos, TX 78666, USA.
E-mail address: yang@txstate.edu

TABLE 1. Partitions of 12 and Number of orbits of M_{24}

Number of blocks	Type	Number of orbits
12	(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	5040
11	(2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	2520
10	(3,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	840
10	(2,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	1260
9	(4,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	210
9	(3,2,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	420
9	(2,2,2,1,1,1,1,1,1)	630
8	(5,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)	42
8	(4,2,1,1,1,1,1,1)	105
8	(3,3,1,1,1,1,1,1)	140
8	(3,2,2,1,1,1,1,1)	210
8	(2,2,2,2,1,1,1,1)	318
7	(6,1,1,1,1,1,1)	7
7	(5,2,1,1,1,1,1)	21
7	(4,2,2,1,1,1,1)	54
7	(4,3,1,1,1,1,1)	35
7	(3,3,2,1,1,1,1)	70
7	(3,2,2,2,1,1,1)	108
7	(2,2,2,2,2,1,1)	165
6	(7,1,1,1,1,1)	1
6	(6,2,1,1,1,1)	4
6	(5,3,1,1,1,1)	7
6	(5,2,2,1,1,1)	12
6	(4,4,1,1,1,1)	11
6	(4,3,2,1,1,1)	19
6	(4,2,2,2,1,1)	30
6	(3,3,3,1,1,1)	24
6	(3,3,2,2,1,1)	38
6	(3,2,2,2,2,1)	59
6	(2,2,2,2,2,2)	93
5	(8,1,1,1,1)	1
5	(7,2,1,1,1)	1
5	(6,3,1,1,1)	2
5	(6,2,2,1,1)	2
5	(5,4,1,1,1)	4
5	(5,3,2,1,1)	5
5	(5,2,2,2,1)	8
5	(4,4,2,1,1)	7
5	(4,3,3,1,1)	8
5	(4,3,2,2,1)	12
5	(4,2,2,2,2)	20
5	(3,3,3,2,1)	15
5	(3,3,2,2,2)	23

TABLE 2. Partitions of 12 and Number of orbits of M_{12}, continued

Number of blocks	Type	Number of orbits
4	(9,1,1,1)	1
4	(8,2,1,1)	1
4	(7,3,1,1)	1
4	(6,4,1,1)	2
4	(5,5,1,1)	3
4	(7,2,2,1)	1
4	(6,3,2,1)	2
4	(6,2,2,2)	3
4	(5,4,2,1)	3
4	(5,3,3,1)	3
4	(5,3,2,2)	4
4	(4,4,3,1)	4
4	(4,3,3,2)	6
4	(4,4,2,2)	6
4	(3,3,3,3)	8
3	(10,1,1)	1
3	(9,2,1)	1
3	(8,3,1)	1
3	(8,2,2)	1
3	(7,4,1)	1
3	(7,3,2)	1
3	(6,5,1)	2
3	(6,4,2)	2
3	(6,3,3)	2
3	(5,5,2)	2
3	(5,4,3)	2
3	(4,4,4)	3
2	(11,1)	1
2	(10,2)	1
2	(9,3)	1
2	(8,4)	1
2	(7,5)	1
2	(6,6)	2
1	(12)	1

TABLE 3. Maximum Order of Primitive Groups not containing A_n

Degree	Maximum Order	Second Largest Order
5	20	
6	120	
7	168	
8	1344	
9	1512	
10	1440	
11	7920	
12	95040	7920
13	5616	
14	2184	
15	20160	
16	322560	
17	16320	
18	4896	
19	342	
20	6840	
21	120960	
22	887040	
23	10200960	
24	244823040	
25	28800	
26	31200	
27	303264	
28	1451520	
29	812	
30	24360	
31	9999360	
32	319979520	
33	163680	
34	N/A	
35	40320	
36	1451520	
37	1332	
38	50616	